

## REMARKS

The Notice of Non-compliance sent May 29, 2008 states, “[t]his application presents a claim for subject matter not originally claimed or embraced in the statement of the invention.” Specifically the Notice states, [i]ndependent claims 1, 6, and 8 are broader than the original claims 1, 6, and 8, respectively.” The Notice then states that a supplemental oath is required. Applicants respectfully disagree and respond as follows.

The amendments to the claims were in a Response (October 31, 2007) to the Office Action mailed May 15, 2007. The claim amendments as shown in this Response are supported by the originally filed specification. In particular, amended Claim 1 is supported by original Claim 8, page 3, lines 12-21; amended Claim 6 is supported by Original Claim 2, page 7, lines 1 and 12, page 10, line 21, page 11, line 12, and paragraph bridging pages 6 and 7; and amended Claim 8 is supported by original Claim 6, figures 5 and 7, page 7, and lines 9-24, page 8, lines 6-19. Since each of the amended Claims 1, 6 and 8, are supported by an original Claim and further amended from support from the specification, these amended Claims are not broader than the original Claims 1, 6, and 8, but are based upon differing original Claims (e.g., amended Claim 1 is supported by original Claim 8 and the specification as already described). Thus, the amendment of claims as submitted is supported, not broader than the original claims and is embraced by the specification. Applicants, therefore, respectively request withdrawal of the requirement for a supplemental declaration or oath.

Dated: June 19, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Kevin J. Nilsen/  
Kevin J. Nilsen  
Registration No.: 41,510  
THE DOW CHEMICAL CO.  
2030 Dow Center  
Midland, Michigan 48674  
(248) 391-6321